

Next Steps for Chemical Selection

Sara Hoover, Chief
Safer Alternatives Assessment and Biomonitoring Section
Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment

*Presentation to Scientific Guidance Panel
July 28, 2009*

Questions for the SGP

- ▶ Should we wait for CDC results before bringing chemicals to SGP as potential priority chemicals?
- ▶ Should chemicals that share metabolites with CECBP designated or priority chemicals be automatically assigned the same status?
- ▶ Should CECBP staff bring limited or declining use chemicals to SGP for possible inclusion in the Program?
- ▶ Should CECBP staff bring chemicals with unknown exposure to SGP for possible inclusion in the Program?
- ▶ How should CDC biomonitoring results guide choice of biomonitoring chemicals for CECBP?
- ▶ To what extent should analytical difficulties influence consideration of chemicals for CECBP?

Potential designated chemicals – ongoing work

- ▶ Other classes not fully designated (e.g., perfluorinated compounds, phthalates)
- ▶ Pesticides
 - Continue screening based on SGP guidance at this meeting
 - Both agricultural and household
- ▶ Other ongoing work (e.g., emerging disinfection byproducts)

Potential priority chemicals – ongoing work

- ▶ Pesticides for which CDC results are expected this year
 - Captan, chlorothalonil, chlorthal–dimethyl, diuron, mancozeb, maneb, S–metolachlor, trifluralin, ziram
- ▶ Other designated pesticides of interest to SGP
- ▶ Other possible priority chemicals
 - e.g., PCBs
 - Other designated chemicals of interest to SGP

Other items

- ▶ Panel input on highest priorities for biomonitoring
- ▶ Others?

Panel discussion and input

- ▶ Choice of potential designated chemicals for follow up at future meetings
- ▶ Choice of potential priority chemicals for follow up at future meetings